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# Synthesis and Structure of Dihydroxo( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{- 2 , 2} \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$-iminodiethoxo)germanium(IV) 
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#### Abstract

Ge}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left\{\mathrm{HN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]\) was prepared from germanium dioxide and $2,2^{\prime}$-iminodiethanol in refluxed $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and identified with IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, elemental analysis, and X-ray single-crystal structure determination. $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{GeNO}_{4}, M_{r}=209.6$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n, a=5.161$ (2), $b=12.251$ (4), $c=$ 11.266 (3) $\AA, \beta=94.87$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}, V=709.8$ (3) $\AA^{3}, Z=4$, $D_{x}=1.96 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \quad \lambda($ Mo $K \alpha)=0.7093 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $4.21 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000)=424, T=298 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.039$ for 1096 observed reflections. The title compound has a trigonal bipyramidal structure with the N atom of the tridentate ligand and one of the hydroxo groups in the axial position. The two $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths for $2,2^{\prime}$-iminodiethoxide are similar, but are significantly different for the two hydroxo groups.


Introduction. Germanium complexes are attracting particular interest because of their specific biological activity (Brutkiewicz \& Suzuki, 1987). It is also important to examine the expansion of the valence shell of the metal in these compounds beyond the limits permitted by the filled-octet rule. Reports about the structures of germanium complexes reveal that the coordination numbers of the metal are diverse (Breliere, Carre, Corriu \& Royo, 1988; Day, Holmes, Sau \& Holmes, 1982; Gurkova, Gusev, Sharapov, Alekseev, Gar \& Chromova, 1984; Lazraq, Couret, Declercq, Dubourg, Escudie \& Riviere-Bandet, 1990; Mizuta, Yoshida \& Miyoshi, 1989). Among them, Gurkova et al. (1984) reported that the derivative for the triethanolamine has a trigonal bipyramidal structure. The main purpose of this paper is to try to synthesize the title compound from $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}$ and diethanolamine and to determine the crystal structure.
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Experimental. The title compound was prepared as follows: germanium dioxide ( $0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to an aqueous solution ( $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) of diethanolamine ( $2,2^{\prime}$-iminodiethanol) ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture refluxed for 2 h . After removal of the solvent, the crude product was recrystallized from methanol. The final products were colorless needle crystals, m.p. 458 K (dec.); yield 0.902 g ( $86 \%$ ) (elemental analysis found: C, 22.54 ; H, 5.21 ; $\mathrm{N}, 6.56$; $\mathrm{Ge}, 34.60 \%, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{GeNO}_{4}$ requires: C , $22.90 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.24 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.68 ; \mathrm{Ge}, 34.61 \%$ ); $\nu_{\text {max }}$ at $934(s)$ and $821(s) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{OC}), 685(s) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{N})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 2.95\left(4 \mathrm{H}, t, 2 \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$ and $3.77(4 \mathrm{H}, t$, $\left.2 \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 51.8\left(2 \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$ and $60.8\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$. A crystal, prepared from methanol by evaporation, had dimensions $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.50 \mathrm{~mm}$. CAD-4 diffractometer. Unit cell: 25 reflections, $2 \theta$ range 18.64 to $26.42^{\circ} . \theta / 2 \theta$ mode with $\theta$ scan width $=(0.80+$ $0.35 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$. Mo $K \alpha$ radiation. Three standard reflections were monitored every 1 h : variation in $I$ $<2.0 \% .1383$ reflections measured ( $2.0<2 \theta<50.0^{\circ}$, $h, k, l:-6$ to 6,0 to 14,0 to 13 , respectively), giving 1244 unique reflections and 1096 observed with $I>$ 2.0 $\sigma(I)$. Absorption correction was made according to experimental $\psi$ rotation (Enraf-Nonius, 1979) (maximum $/ \mathrm{minimum}$ transmission factors $=0.644 /$ 0.982 ). Structure solved by heavy-atom method. Positions of H atoms were found in a difference Fourier map and were included in the structure factor calculation but not refined. The last leastsquares cycle was calculated with 21 atoms, 92 parameters with anisotropic temperature factors for non-H atoms, and 1096 reflections. $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001$. Weighting scheme, $w=1 / \sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}\right)$, with $\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)$ from counting statistics. Quantity minimized $\sum w\left(K F_{o}-\right.$ $\left.F_{c}\right)^{2}$. Final $R, w R$ and $S$ were $0.039,0.046$ and 2.96 , respectively. Peaks in the final $\Delta F$ map were 1.10 to
© 1992 International Union of Crystallography
$-1.39 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$. Secondary-extinction coefficient 1.1 (1) (length in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) (Gabe \& Lee, 1981). Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV). Computing programs: NRC SDP VAX package (Gabe \& Lee, 1981). ORTEP from the Enraf-Nonius (1979) Structure Determination Package.

Discussion. There are two characteristic regions of the IR spectrum of the title compound, $\nu_{\max }$ at 934 and $821 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the asymmetric and symmetric stretching of $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{OC}$ and $685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the stretching of $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{N}$. The chemical shifts of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}(\delta=2.95$ and 3.77) are further downfield than those of the free ligand ( $2,2^{\prime}$-iminodiethanol) by 0.38 and 0.25 p.p.m., which may result from the effect of the coordination. Thermal gravimetric analysis reveals that $8.6 \%$ of the weight, corresponding to one $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule, is lost at 478 K . This phenomenon is consistent with the observation that the hydrolysis of diorganogermanes produces the oxide of general formula $\left(R_{2} \mathrm{GeO}\right)_{n}($ Lesbre, Mazerolles \& Satge, 1971).

Atomic positional parameters and selected bond lengths and bond angles of the title compound are listed in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. The molecular structure is shown in Fig. 1.*

The molecule consists of a central Ge atom bonded to one N and two O atoms of the tridentate ligand and two hydroxo groups. The coordination around the metal is a slightly distorted trigonal bipyramid with $O(1), O(2)$ and $O(4)$ equatorial, and N and $\mathrm{O}(3)$ at apices, the three equatorial bond angles being 117.9 (2), 119.1 (2) and 120.2 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$, the axial bond angle being $177.9(3)^{\circ}$. The distance between Ge and the equatorial plane is 0.172 (2) $\AA$. Distortion from the ideal trigonal bipyramidal geometry is largely a result of the formation of the two fused chelate rings formed by the tridentate ligand and the difference between $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}$ (axial) bonds.

The $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{N}$ length of the title compound [2.134 (4) $\AA$ ] which is on the short side of the range for Ge complexes (2.09-2.44 $\AA$ ) (Gurkova et al., 1984) may be the consequence of the strong electronacceptor effect for the hydroxide group. The equatorial $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(4) \mathrm{H}$ length being significantly shorter than the other two $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}$ lengths $[1.762$ (4) vs 1.791 (4) and 1.797 (3) $\AA$ ] may be attributed to the difference between the nature of the hydroxide and

[^1]Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic temperature factors $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $B_{\text {eq }}=\left(8 \pi^{2} / 3\right) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}{ }^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}$ |
| Ge | 0.00293 (9) | 0.14709 (4) | 0.15760 (5) | 1.42 (3) |
| N | -0.2573 (8) | 0.2551 (3) | 0.2346 (4) | 1.8 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 0.1873 (7) | 0.2690 (3) | 0.1369 (3) | 2.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 0.0305 (7) | 0.0852 (3) | 0.3021 (3) | 2.4 (2) |
| O(3) | 0.2311 (6) | 0.0566 (3) | 0.0972 (3) | 2.4 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | -0.2650 (7) | 0.1140 (3) | 0.0566 (3) | 2.2 (2) |
| C(1) | -0.089 (1) | 0.1377 (5) | 0.3943 (5) | 3.2 (3) |
| C(2) | -0.322 (1) | 0.1995 (5) | 0.3463 (5) | 3.1 (3) |
| C(3) | -0.116 (1) | 0.3585 (4) | 0.2543 (6) | 3.1 (3) |
| C(4) | 0.060 (1) | 0.3696 (4) | 0.1561 (6) | 3.3 (3) |

Table 2. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{N}$ | $2.123(4)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.493(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.297(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.469(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.791(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.423(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.793(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.406(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.762(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.484(9)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.495(9)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $84.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $104.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $84.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $106.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $177.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $114.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $85.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $116.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $117.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $118.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $95.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{CC}(2)$ | $110.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $120.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $107.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $94.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $106.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $119.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $110.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $96.8(2)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of dihydroxo( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{3}-2,2^{\prime}$-iminodiethoxo)germanium(IV).
the alkoxide ligands. That the axial $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}(3) \mathrm{H}$ length is longer than that of the equatorial one may be a result of the greater steric hindrance for the axial hydroxide (three $90^{\circ}$ electron-pair repulsions vs two).
The lengths of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds are all reasonable and there is no intermolecular contact of structural significance in the title compound.
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# Structure of cis-Diaquabis(hexafluoroacetylacetonato)nickel(II) 
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#### Abstract

C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{NiO}_{6}, \quad M_{r}=508.9\), monoclinic, $C 2 / c, a=21.195$ (5), $b=8.247$ (2), $c=9.741$ (2) $\AA, \beta$ $=95.91(2)^{\circ}, V=1693.6$ (7) $\AA^{3}, D_{x}=1.996 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$, $Z=4, \quad \mu=1.298 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \quad \lambda($ Mo $K \alpha)=0.71073 \AA$, $F(000)=1000, \quad T=298 \mathrm{~K}, \quad R=0.0369$ and $w R=$ 0.0645 for 157 variable parameters $(S=0.59)$ and 1112 reflections with $F>0 \sigma(F)$. The Ni atom possesses an octahedral geometry and forms bonds to the O atoms of two chelating $\mathrm{F}_{6}$ acac ligands that occupy both axial and equatorial positions [ Ni O (1) 2.026 (3) $\AA ; \mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}(2) 2.027$ (2) $\AA$ ]. The two molecules of water are coordinated in a cis configuration $[\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}(3) 2.054$ (3) $\AA$ ] and the structure possesses $C_{2}$ point group symmetry.


Introduction. The ability of the fluorinated derivatives of acetylacetone to form stable chelating bis adducts with many of the transition-metal elements is well established (Joshi \& Pathak, 1977). In most instances stable monomeric adducts containing equatorial acac ligands are obtained. Notable exceptions include the anhydrous bis(acetylacetonato) $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Zn}^{1 \mathrm{I}}$ complexes which possess oligomeric structures with bridging acac groups. The structures of the trans-diaquabis(acetylacetonato) complexes of both $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ have been previously described (Bullen, 1959; Montgomery \& Lingafelter, 1964) and the preparation of trans $-\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{F}_{6} \mathrm{acac}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ has been
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reported (Pecsok, Reynolds, Fackler, Lin \& PradillaSorzano, 1974). In this paper we describe the crystal structure of the previously unreported cis$\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{F}_{6} \mathrm{acac}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ isomer.


Experimental. Crystals of the title compound were obtained in good yield following the crystallization of a product obtained by reacting one equivalent of the di(tert-butyl) nitroxyl free radical with one equivalent of anhydrous bis $\left(\mathrm{F}_{6} \mathrm{acac}\right) \mathrm{Ni}^{11}$. Crystallization from a toluene/petroleum ether solution led to the formation of numerous well formed, multifaceted, green crystals with a trigonal prismatic habit. A regularly shaped crystal of approximate dimensions $0.30 \times 0.40 \times 0.60 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on the end of a glass fiber in a random orientation. Monoclinic symmetry suggested on the basis of inter-
(c) 1992 International Union of Crystallography
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